

AGENCY ESTIMATE  
OF THE FISCAL IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTING  
**HB 181 2011 General Session**  
**Child Care Amendments**

**Sponsor:** Representative Brad J. Galvez  
**Agency Contact:** Jenefer Youngfield  
**Agency** Utah State Office of Education

**Lead Analyst:** Ben Leishman  
**Title:** School Construction Specialist  
**Office:** 801-538-7669 **Cell:** 801-520-6859

**A. Short Form** (For bills that have no impact on the state, local governments, businesses, or individuals.)

If you can check all five boxes to the right, you're almost done. If the bill obviously doesn't have an impact, you're done.

- |                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | * State agencies will not require an appropriation to implement the bill. |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | There is no fiscal impact on local governments.                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | There is no fiscal impact on businesses                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | There is no fiscal impact on individuals.                                 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | The bill will not affect revenues.  |

If it isn't so obvious, explain what's going on. The most usual explanation is the codification of existing practices.

Attachments welcome.

If necessary, explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.

State Agencies - particularly the health department may require an appropriation to implement the bill (*department contacted for figures - but unable to talk with...* *Continued on second tab.*)

\* See notation continued on USOE Agency Response Tab 2

**B. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact?**

Cite specific sections or line numbers.

Lines 21-22 School districts and charter schools may incur added costs or pass them on to their... *Continued on second tab.*

**C. Which program gets the appropriation?**

Enter 3 letter Appropriation Unit Code.

**For multiple appropriations**

This is  of

**D. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?**

Explain the fiscal impact in plain English, detailing your assumptions, methods, & calculations.

List all direct costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what this \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

There may be an added cost to school districts, charter schools and/or child care providers from \$123 to \$1,125 annually, per license depending on the jurisdiction having oversight and licensing fees imposed by the health department, and an added fee of \$1.50 per student per year imposed on the individual providing child care services. Which could potentially be an add \$14,750 to \$132,750 annually (based on two employees at each of the 118 high schools in Utah).

## E. REVENUES

Select Fund	Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0

## F. COSTS by FUND

Select Fund	Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0

## G. COSTS by EXPENDITURE CATEGORY.

Expenses by Category	Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013
Personal Services			
Travel			
Current Expense			
DP Current Expense			
DP Capital Outlay			
Capital Outlay			
Other/Pass Thru			
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0

## H. Non-State Impacts

Your estimate of how will the bill affect:

### Local Governments

School districts and charter schools may be restricted in delivery of child care services provided. Educational related programs may suffer if sufficient child care recipients no longer participate in programs.

### Businesses

There may be opportunity for the private sector to provide child care services previously provided by school districts and charter schools. Privately operated child care services may be overwhelmed... *Continued on second tab*

### Individuals

Parents may no longer be able to participate in current child care programs at school districts and charter schools. Parents may have increased options of obtaining child care services in the private sector. In addition, parents may incur additional costs.

2010 Version 11.09

This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future.

Attachments welcome.

*AGENCY ESTIMATE*  
*OF THE FISCAL IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTING*  
***HB 181 2011 General Session continued...***  
***Child Care Amendments***

<i>Sponsor:</i>	<i>Representative Brad J. Galvez</i>	<i>Lead Analyst: Ben Leishman</i>
<i>Agency Contact:</i>	<i>Jenefer Youngfield</i>	<i>Title: School Construction Specialist</i>
<i>Agency</i>	<i>Utah State Office of Education</i>	<i>Office: 801-538-7669    Cell: 801-520-6859</i>

***A. Short Form - continued from first tab...***

*preparing departmental fiscal note*). Added visits for health department reviews and inspections may be required; if funding is not added for increased demands, existing programs may suffer. School districts currently having exemptions from licensing may no longer have this option. Local governments may be impacted and pressured to provide opportunities for child care if school districts and charter schools are no longer able to or choose not to provide this service. Local businesses may see an increase in revenues by being able to provide child care services previously provided by school districts and charter schools. Parents may incur added cost and/or not be able to procure child care services similar to those currently provided through the local school district or charter school. With many different programs classifications being considered interchangeable with child care, such as: pre-school, before and after school programs, and so on, it is not possible to quantify the actual cost of the bill.

***B. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact continued from first tab...***

employees for licensing not currently required at a cost of \$123 to \$1,125 annually, per provider, depending on licensing fees of the jurisdiction having oversight and the health department ; plus an added \$1.50 per student fee per year imposed by the health department . Which potentially could be add cost of \$14,750 to \$132,750 annually.

***C. Which program gets the appropriation continued from first tab...***

***D. Work Notes: continued from Tab 1 continued from first tab...***

***E. REVENUES continued from first tab...***

F. COSTS by FUND *continued from first tab...*

G. COSTS by EXPENDITURE CATEGORY *continued from first tab...*

H. Non-State Impacts *continued from first tab...*

...with the need to provide services to additional clients.